UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/688,223	10/16/2003	Stephen G. Dick	I-2-0427.1US	9473
²⁴³⁷⁴ VOLPE AND F	7590 03/05/201 ¹ KOENIG, P.C.	EXAMINER		
DEPT. ICC	,	ALAM, FAYYAZ		
UNITED PLAZA, SUITE 1600 30 SOUTH 17TH STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PHILADELPH	IA, PA 19103	2618		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/05/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/688,223	DICK ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
FAYYAZ ALAM	2618	

	FAYYAZ ALAM	2618	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 24 February 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION	FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	eplies: (1) an amendment, affi al (with appeal fee) in complia	davit, or other evidence, w nce with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set f ter than SIX MONTHS from the m b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN	ailing date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extrumer 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amo nortened statutory period for reply	ount of the fee. The appropria originally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or	sideration and/or search (see v); er form for appeal by materiall	NOTE below); y reducing or simplifying tl	
 (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed. 	See attached Notice of Non	-Compliant Amendment (I	
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 39-44. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		will be entered and an ex	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under a _l	opeal and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER The request for reconsideration has been considered but 		·	
see continuation. 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (oo booddo.
13. Other:			
/Edward Urban/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2618			

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No.

Applicant argues on pg. 7 that as clearly indicated in the portion cited above, Dominique discloses a UE establishing a power threshold level for the primary channel and the secondary channel. Dominique does not disclose a serving WTRU for implementing transmission power control for other WTRUs including a processor for computing uplink DCH target metrics based on the received uplink user data on the uplink dedicated channel associated with the uplink shared channel used by the other WTRU, nor does Dominique disclose shared channel target metric generator configured to output a respective uplink shared channel target metric derived from each computed uplink dedicated channel target metric for use in computing uplink channel power adjustments by the other WTRU. Dominique merely discloses the calculation of a power threshold by a UE using threshold information, i.e., the average power level of signals having an acceptable FER that are received over a secondary channel and received over the primary channel.

Examiner respectfully disagrees.

The limitation in question is rejected by Vanghi see Final rejection dated 12/24/2009 pg. 6. Dominique is not cited in rejecteing the limitation in question. Therefore, arguments remain moot since the applicant mainly discusses Dominique and does not present a case against Vanghi